IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

Jacob Black,) Civil Action No.: 0:12-3068-MGL
	Petitioner,)
VS.		ORDER AND OPINION
State of South Carolina,)
	Respondent.)))

Petitioner Jacob Black ("Petitioner") is an inmate at the Anderson County Detention Center in Anderson, South Carolina. On October 25, 2012, Petitioner proceeding *pro se*, filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus in this Court seeking a dismissal of the pending charges against him in the Court of General Sessions for Anderson County. (ECF No. 1). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for pretrial handling. On March 4, 2013, Magistrate Judge Gossett issued a Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 14) recommending that the Court dismiss the Petition without prejudice and without issuance and service of process because this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court may also receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with

0:12-cv-03068-MGL Date Filed 03/28/13 Entry Number 18 Page 2 of 2

instructions. Id. The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those

portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made.

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and

Recommendation. (ECF No. 14 at 5.) Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and

Recommendation and the time for doing expired on March 21, 2013. In the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must

"only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.

2005).

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation to be proper.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 14) is incorporated herein by

reference and this action is DISMISSED without prejudice and without service of process

for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Mary G. Lewis

United States District Judge

Spartanburg, South Carolina March 28, 2013

-2-